Analysis of Outcomes of Bariatric Surgery for Weight Loss Management and on Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus Management: A Systematic Review

 Str. of Partients
 Age Mean
 XEM
 BMI Mean
 Body Weight (bg)

 Partients
 (01)
 Occursion (1)
 (02)
 Mean 2021

 A1
 MAX74
 Addition (1)
 (02)
 Mean 2021

N 8476 12 F23 264036

40 400 A30.0 MADA

 Following
 Desire (S)
 Direction (S)
 DBR
 memory (S)

 Fig.
 Sargery (S)
 AL
 AL
 SA
 <t

Once at al

Advances of all,

band of d.

Number,

Nervey Logary DAGE -ETOEL Medical

 Inspire
 M
 MA(7.6)
 +2
 37.9.7
 MMA(31.6)

 IAME
 Mon-Inspire
 M
 07.12.8.7
 +2
 37.9.3.9
 385.93.6.0

 Incomy
 10
 30.0140
 30.0140
 30.0130
 300.0130

 Straight Stress
 10
 50.0140
 30.0140
 30.0120
 300.0120

146, heppiny 40 40(0 4.3-(0.2) 34.03.0 90.2(34.0) [0540] Net-Sergery 34 43.9(1 6.3.0.7) 94.3(3.0) 97.5(35.0)

 Logev
 ST
 473(0.2)
 6.2 (0.0)
 MA(0.7)
 MIU (m.0)

 Bridd 11(0)
 MIU (m.0)
 MIU (m.0)
 MIU (m.0)
 MIU (m.0)

 Bridd 11(0)
 MIU (m.0)
 MIU (m.0)
 MIU (m.0)
 MIU (m.0)

Sepre 11 43.77 10 56-07 542.30

4 34 44.7 10 54.50 142.9

52 MJ 5 HJ HJ

299 312 5 253 754

 Mingrow et d., 2003
 Isram, 2013
 M
 61.3278
 6
 45.30.51
 2013 (20.6)

 2003
 2003
 2003
 2003
 2003 (20.6)
 2003 (20.6)

 2003
 2003
 2003
 2003
 2003 (20.6)
 2003 (20.6)

 2003
 2004
 2004
 2004
 2004 (20.6)
 2004 (20.6)

Students: Salma Ali, Kehinde Fanoiki, Anika Risden, & Sunanda Tah - Candidates of Medicine MD4

Supervisors: Dr. Maria Picu MD, FACP, LMCC & Dr. Alexey Pryakhin PhD

Department of Basic Sciences, St. James School of Medicine - St. Vincent



INTRODUCTION

Obesity is becoming a growing concern worldwide and is now considered to be an epidemic. Health care provider ontinue to search for a safe and successful therapeutic treatment to reduce body fat. Obesity is defined as having a body mass index (BMI) over 30 kg/m2. Obesity is associated with many negative health and socioeconomic effects. Please who have BMI over 30 are at increased risk of developing hypertension, cancer, cardiac events , stroke and particularly type 2 diabetes mellitus.

With the rise of obesity, the incidence of T2DM has also increased, it is estimated by the year 2030 439 million people will have T2DM. In 2016 the WHO reported that 1.6 million deaths in adults over the age pf eighteen were caused by diabetes across the globe. Type 2 diabetes mellitus is the leading cause of blindness, kidney failure and limb amputation worldwide. Though diabetes was once seen as a disease of the middle and late age, rates of children being diagnosed with T2DM has increased. The incidence of T2DM in children and adolescents is more common pointing towards an emerging epidemic and a large public health problem (Wu, Y., Ding, Y., Tanaka, Y., & Zhang, W 2014)

Type 2 diabetes mellitus is also known as non-insulin dependent diabetes mellitus and is the most common type. It is haracterized by hyperplycemia, insulin resistance and reactive insulin deficiency. This disease is caused by many factors, both genetic and lifestyle. Although physical inactivity, advancing age, a sedentary lifestyle, smoking and a high fat diet are important driving forces in the development of T2DM, obesity remains the leading predisposing factor in the advancement of the disease (Kahn CR. Banting ,1994). Over 55% of people diagnosed with T2DM are obese and carry most of their adipose tissue in their central area.

It is important to understand obesity and the associated health risks along with the treatment options in order to effectively manage the disease. Worldwide, an estimated 347 million adults are living with diabetes and almost half of them are undiagnosed (Sjostrom et al., 2014). Furthermore, in patients that absolutely need to undergo surgical intervention due to their BMI and outstanding health conditions, it was shown that the survery reduced the long-term incidence of diabetes (Sjostrom et al., 2014). Lifestyle changes, such as diet control and exercise can also reduce obesity and T2DM. However, both surgical and non-surgical treatment options are comparable when it comes to losing weight. In this study, we will conduct a systematic review to examine if bariatric surgery produces superior long-term outcomes compared to non-surgical treatment for weight loss in T2DM patients

Keywords: Obesity, Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus, Weight loss, Health Benefits, Consequences,

Treatment, Surgical interventions, Non-surgical interventions. Insulin Resistance, Cohort study, Meta-analysis

PATHOPHYSIOLOGY AND TREATMENT

Type 2 diabetes is characterized by reduction in insulin sensitivity, a reduction in insulin production which later progresses to the failure of insulin production by beta cells of the pancreas. Insulin resistance occurs when the effects of insulin on the peripheral tissues in the body are blanted, as well as impairment of the gluconeogenesis in the liver. All these changes lead to a reduction in glucose transport from the blood into the liver, muscle and fat cells resulting in an elevated level of glucose in the blood also called hyperolycemia (Skylar et al 2016). Insulin resistance is almost always associated with type 2 diabetes. Obesity is an independent risk factor and a crucial role for the development of insulin resistance. Many of the individuals who have T2DM have a central, visceral fat distribution which plays into the development of insulin resistance. Circulating hormones, cytokines and , metabolic fuels, like free fatty acids from all released from adipocytes, which can blant the action of insulin. In overweight and obese patients, fat cells are large making them more resistant to the action of insulin, thus reducing lipolysis and resulting in impaired insulin responsiveness in adipocytes (Czech 2017).



Obesity and central fat distribution have been proven to have an association with the development and progression of T2DM. This associated weight loss management has been a no matter their level of glycemic control. Bariatric operations also termed focus in the management of type 2 diabetes. In overweight patients who have been diagnosed with diabetes a modest sustained weight loss has been proven to improve glycemic control as well as reduce the need of glucose lowering medications (Pastors et al Care 2016). Bariatric surgery is the process of reducing stomach capacity. It can 2002)

Today physicians prescribe weight loss as the first line of intervention in diabetes nanagement. This can be achieved by non-surgical and surgical interventions. Nonsurgical interventions include behavioural therapy, dietary changes, increase physical activity and pharmaceuticals. These interventions have few side effects and have proven to work for some patients but can be hard to drastically change habits. The long-term omes have been inconsistent. Studies have show that people using lifestyle changes have regained up 35% of their weight loss back (Bond et al., 2014).



Metabolic surgery is recommended for patients with T2DM with a BMI over 40 metabolic surgery promotes dramatic and long-lasting improvement of type 2 diabetes caused by a dramatic weight loss experienced after the surgery (Diabetes be a restrictive surgery, where the stomach capacity is reduced, or a restrictive and malabsorptive surgery where the reduction in stomach capacity is combined with the reduction in digestion area (Pucci and Batterham, 2019).

Many procedures of Bariatric surgery have been developed since its invention in the 1950's. The most common procedure used in obese patients with diabetes is Roux-en-Y systric bypass (RYGR) (Courcoulas et al. 2014). In this procedure the stomach is cut down to generate a small pouch on one end and a free end. The small intestine is also cut in the mid.ieiunum area and divided into a Roux limb. and biliopancreatic limb. The small stomach pouch created from the stomach is anastomosed with the Roux limb of the small intestine while the bilionancreatic limb is anastomosed with the jejunum. The new pathway ensures that food passing through the small stomach can be further digested in the small intestine with the help of bile and pancreatic juices (Pucci and Batterham, 2019).

Studies indicate that patients who undergo bariatric surgery lose more than 25% of their initial body mass in the first twelve months post operation (Bond et al., 20081

METHODS

After conducting a systematic literature search through PubMed and EBSCO electronic databases. In order to analyze the different producers from weight loss and consequent effect on type 2 diabetes, a total of 8 randomized controlled trials were reviewed. Most of these udies were conducted in the United State except for Mingrone et al, which was conducted in Italy. In the study conducted by Ikramuddin et al., randomly assigned 120 patients, 60 of those patients assigned to lifestyle and medical management the other 60 assigned to gastric bypass. Aim is to focus on the outcome of type 2 diabetes control and treatment risks 2 years after adding Roux-en-Y gastric bypass to lifestyle and medical management. The focus is to see triple endpoint of control of glycaemia, systolic blood pressure, and LDL cholesterol. which is better achieved with Roux-en-Y gastric bypass compared with the randomly assigned to treatment with intensive lifestyle and medical management. Eligible participants must have HbA1c of 8.0 %, BMI 30.0-39.9, age being between 30-67 years, and type 2 diabetes for at least 6 months. In the study "Bariatric Surgery versus Intensive Medical Therapy for Diabete: 3-Year Outcomes." Randomization of 150 obse patient with uncontrolled type 2 diabetes receive intensive medical therapy or intensive medical therapy plus Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypas or sleeve gastrectomy. Main focus is to focus on patients who significantly reduced or maintained elucated hemoglobin level of 6.0% or less than by using either intensive medical therapy only or by undergoing gastric bypass or sleeve gastrectomy. Both HbA1c and P value are used for the comparison between the medical therapy group and each of the surgical

In the study done by Courcoulas et al., 61 randomized obese participants with Type 2 diabetes Mellitus were in the intensive lifestyle weight loss interven lifestyle weight loss intervention (LLLI) for 2 years, or surgical treatments followed by LLLI in two to three years. Patient's age between 25 to 55 with a BMI of 30 to 40. This study focuses on the loan term follow up of 3 years of surgical treatment or lifestyle intervention alone for the remission of T2DM in obese patients. Focusing on the comparison of p values, HbA1c and fasting plasma glucose for surgical treatment patients and the lifestyle intervention. The study conducted by Mingrone, randomly assigned 60 patients, aged between 30 and 60 with BMI of 35 and a history of type 2 diabetes. This study focuses on 5 years outcomes to compare surgery with conventional medical treatment for treating type 2 diabetes in obese patients. Focusing on glycated hemoglobin A1c, fasting plasma concentration and the p value.

The study done by laconelli et al., is a case- controlled trial with in 10 years follow up. 110 randomized obese patients within BMI greater than 35 that are newly diagnosed type 2 diabetes. The focus on this study is to measure the long-term effects of Biliopancreatic diversion (BPD) versus those associated with conventional therapy on microvascular, nacroalbuminuria, microalbuminuria, and glomerular filtration rate (GFR). The study done by Hsu et al., compares the long-term outcomes between medical treatment with 299 patients and gastrointestinal metabolic surgery with 52 patients. The study focuses on mildly obese patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and BMI less than 35. The measurement that are going to be looked at are HbA1c, BMI, and P value.



In all the studies analyzed in this review, patients lost more weight with bariatric surgery as compared to the non-surgical/lifestyle management group. The average weight of participants in the pre-surgery group was 108.74 kg, average post-surgery weight was 80.25 Kg and the average weight for pre-lifestyle change and post lifestyle change was 108.51 Kg and 102.97kg, respectively. The total average weight loss between pre and post surgical intervention was 28.49 kg and the total average weight loss between pre and post lifestyle intervention was 5.54 kg. Figure 1 shows the body weight trends associated with each treatment method. Figure 2 shows the average weight of the participants preand post surgery and lifestyle change. The overall mean difference between post surgery weight and post lifestyle intervention weight is -22.72Kg. Confidence interval level was 95% (p<0.05), therefore the results are significant.



A trend that was apparent in the studies was that irrespective of the treatment choice for weight loss, when a patient lost any weight the HbA1c levels also decreased. The main difference was that participants who used surgical methods to obtain weight loss, had an easier time managing their weight. Another main result was that people who used surgical means had overall lower HbA1c levels compared to the lifestyle management group. The average of HbA1c levels after surgical intervention was 6.28%, significantly reduced from the average HbA1c levels pre-surgery of 8.62%. The average of the non-surgical group post implementing the changes in their lives was 7.60%, down from the pre-intervention average of 8.33%. Figure 3 shows the HbA1c levels for each specific treatment method studied in the review. Figure 4 shows the average HbA1c levels for each treatment, pre/post surgery and pre/post lifestyle. The overall mean difference between post surgical and post lifestyle intervention was -1.32%. Confidence interval level was 95% (p<0.05), therefore the results are significant.

DISCUSSION



In all types of bariatric surgery examined, there is a significant difference in the weight of patients after the procedure compared their weight before surgery. As seen in the cluster graph, a greater difference in before and after weight is observed in the surgical procedures, the same pattern is observed when HbA1, vs fasting plasma glucose levels are compared before and after surgery or lifestyle intervention. The surgical interventions yield greater difference when compared to lifestyle changes.

Examining the results obtained, bariatric surgery produces a better outcome in weight-loss than lifestyle changes.

The studies included in this research performed follow-ups at 1 year, 3 years, 5 years and 10 years after surgical procedures. A pooled analysis indicates that the weight loss better maintained in the surgical group. The analysis also suggests that HbA12 vs fasting plasma glucose levels are lower after surgery than when only lifestyle changes are implemented. Thus, diabetes is more likely to be in remission in the surgical group than the non surgical group. Our review focuses on studies that defined diabetes remission as patients who have maintained a lower HbAik vs fasting plasma glucose levels and have been off medication for 12-24 months. This definition is based on the American Association of Diabetes. Mingrone et al reported that 50% of surgical patients maintained weight loss and remission at 5 years operation vs none for the non surgical group (Mingrone et al. 2015).

While Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (RYGB) is the most common bariatric procedure and most of the studies included in our review use the method, further approach to tudying bariatric surgery can include comparing the different procedures and their effect on T2DM. The cluster graph includes a comparison between the four different bariatric strating on much angly an include company in a direction potentiation in the text of relativity methods include RYGB very antimeter to constrain the eight studies analysed in this research. The bariatic methods include RYGB very astractions, Laparoscopic adjustable gastric banding (LAGB), and Billopancreatic diversion (BPD). The results indicate that there is a greater weight loss and reduction in HbAc levels obtained with BPD. However, laconcili et al. entions that the BPD procedure has both surgical and medical complications like a higher operative mortality rate, incisional hernia, malabsorption amongst others. onsequently, BPD is less frequently performed. Patients choosing to undergo the BPD procedure must therefore be warned of the risks involved.

CONCLUSION

Courcoulas et al. suggests that remission could be influenced by the amount of years that patients were diabetic before surgery (Courcoulas et al, 2015). Thus, patients are more likely to benefit from the surgery if they had a shorter duration of diabetes. This is further supported by the Swedish study by Jans et al., which states that remission is greatest in patients who undergo surgery almost immediately after being diagnosed with diabetes. Jan's et al. also supports that although the mechanism for bariatric surgery's improvement of T2DM may not be completely understood, the weight loss experienced by patients combined with other factors promotes insulin sensitivity and increases functioning of pancreatic beta cell post operation.

Furthermore, each of the studies included in our review were collected from one country and limited by small sample size and many of them had short follow up periods. While obesity continues to rise at an alarming rate, it is unclear if bariatric surgery may present a curative approach to T2DM and obesity. In spite the results from the individual studies as well as a pooled analysis of all eight studies suggesting the efficacy of bariatric surgery with regards to weight loss and reduction in glycemic index, more investigation using larger sample sizes and more randomization size may help solidify bariatric as an effective treatment for obesity and T2DM.

Over the last decade, the indication of bariatric surgery to treat obesity has continued to soar. This study indicates that while the procedure is mainly used in obese patients who have been diagnosed with T2DM, patients who undergo the procedure have greater results with weight loss and reduced blood sugar. Bariatric surgery may potentially provide them with an attainable path to remission

REFERENCES

- Ahmed AM, History of diabetes milling. Saudi Med J 2002. Apr;23(4):373-378
- Randomirud (Clinical Trial, JAMA norgo y, 150(10), 971–980. <u>Intercented on 10.1001 (annuary 2015.1514</u> Curch M. P. (2017). Instituaction and restmace inobesity and type 2 diabetes. Nature medicine, 22(7), 804–814. <u>Intercibil org</u> 1
- Exxon JB. O'Brien PE. Playfair J. et al. Adjustable sastric banding and conventional therapy for type 2 diabeter: a random
- Lotorine Commercy, Fright, Strandbarg, Barlowing and Commercian manage processing of the commerciant and commer And commerciant and commerc
- management is pations without 2 diabetes: functions of an advantated chied wind. JAMA surgery, 149(7), 716-726, <u>https://doi.org/10.1001/integant.2014.511</u> Host, C. C., Alumbild, A., Chen, J. C., Ser, K. H., Chen, S. C., Hou, K. C., Jao, Y. C., & Lao, W. J. (2015). Effect of Bariaric Surgery withdled Transmut on Type 2 Diabetes in Pations With Body Mass Index Lower Than 35: Five-Yoar Outcomes, JAMA s
- LOPLATION AND ADDREAM AND A
- Jan, A., Nickad, I., Omsona, J., Stabo, E., Nickad, E., & Stenberg, E. (2019). Duration of type 2 diabetes and remission rates alterbarianic surgery in Sweden 2007-2015: A regiony-based cohort endy. PLoS medicine, 16(11), o1002985
- Haller Character (1) Former and controls (2) March CR Barriel (2) Former 1, S. Die Garnen, A., Gaidon, C., Lecondi, A., Sani, G., Caragnen, M., Bernnein, S., & Rabins, F. (2015) Barianic-methodic surgery suress conventional medical sources in the bost patient with type 2 diabetee. 5 year follow-sport as Magnada G. Saman, S. Sa Barana, S. Calanda, Y. Calanda, Y. Wand, C. Cangues, B. Hannon, A. Sa Marana, S. Sa Marana, Sa Maran

- Sandha MS, Danger DB, Garoannacci HL, Boulin, Isandio-Bio growth factors (4237-4), EFFNndag proteins, their biologic investrion, and colonical cascer. J Natl Cascer Iou. 2002;94(13):973–980. Schnuer, P. R., Bhart, D. L., Kirwan, J. P., Wolski, K., Aminian, A., Brehmer, S. A., Neunordan, S. D., Singh, P. P., Pethier, C. F., Nisson, S. E., Karbupp, S. R., & STAMPEER: Investigators (2017). Barianic Surgery on
- Database -> Test Discounts Tes New Engine Journal of metanics, 77(4),1641-063, important of protocols and protocol

- Wu, Y., Date, Y., Tanka, Y., & Zhane, W. (2014). Bick factors contribution to tree 2 diabetes and recent advances in the transment and sevention. International second of medical sciences, 11(11), 1185–1200. doi:10.7150/lines.10001
- WHO, (2016), Retained Installept://www.uks.at/ Yanowki SZ, Yanowki JA, Lone-termdrue treatment for obsoiry: a communic and clinical police. TAMA: 2014/2111/24-36.